negligence, infliction of mental distress, the failure to properly hire, train and supervise staff, employees, agents and servants, abuse of authority, failure to provide adequate medical care and treatment, malpractice, negligence and civil conspiracy.

- 110. Plaintiffs were permitted to file a late Notice of Claim by Order of the Hon. Sue Regan dated February 10, 2010, which were served accordingly pursuant to New Jersey Statutes Title 59:8-4 and signed by plaintiff's representative, Shelley L. Stangler, Esq. upon the public entities employing the **KINTOCK**, **JOHN DOES 4-10** and **ABC ENTITIES 1-10**.
- 111. More than six (6) months have elapsed since service of plaintiff's Notices of Claims and the claims remain unresolved.
- 112. This action was commenced within two (2) years from the date of the death, January 17, 2009.

<u>FIRST COUNT</u> (42 U.S.C. 1983 & 1985)

- 113. Plaintiffs repeat each and every allegation contained in the aforementional paragraphs and incorporates same by reference.
- At all relevant times herein, defendants JANE BYRD, L.P.N., acting in her personal and individual capacities, CHIEF YANSEK, in his personal and individual capacities, LT. DUDICH in his personal and individual capacities, SGT. STERN in his personal and individual capacities, OFFICER DIMLER, in his personal and individual capacities, OFFICER RUSSO in his personal and individual capacities, OFFICER LARGE in his personal and individual capacities, KINTOCK, JOHN DOES 8-10 in their personal and individual, and ABC ENTITIES 1-10, through their agents, servants and employees and acting in their personal and individual capacities, and ABC ENTITIES 1-10, were acting under color of law under the state and federal

Constitutions, statutes, laws, charters, ordinances, rules, regulations, customs, usages and practices of the subject governmental departments, agencies and entities and within the scope of their authority as employees and/or officers of the State of New Jersey, the DOC, South Woods State Prison and/or C.R.A.F.

- 115. During all relevant times herein the aforementioned defendants acted jointly and in concert with each other, and conspired and agreed between and amongst themselves to commit the unlawful violation of civil rights upon plaintiff's decedent **ROBERT MULLIN** (hereinafter "MULLIN") as well as to fail to provide proper medical care to MULLIN.
- adequate mental health and medical care to MULLIN, not to provide sufficient and adequate supervision, to violate protocols and policies designed to stop and avoid suicide by those persons, such as plaintiff's decedent, under the control and custody of the defendants, to fail to monitor and supervise MULLIN, to fail to intervene or protect MULLIN from danger and imminent physical harm, to fail to treat for addiction and intoxication and to allow MULLIN to have the ability to commit suicide.
- 117. During all relevant times herein JANE BYRD, L.P.N., acting in her personal and individual capacities, CHIEF YANSEK, in his personal and individual capacities, LT. DUDICH in his personal and individual capacities, SGT. STERN in his personal and individual capacities, SGT. SPENCE in his personal and individual capacities, OFFICER DIMLER, in his personal and individual capacities, OFFICER RUSSO in his personal and individual capacities, OFFICER LARGE in his personal and individual capacities, KINTOCK, JOHN DOES 8-10 in their personal, individual and official capacities, and ABC ENTITIES 1-10, through their agents, servants and employees and acting in their personal and individual

capacities, acted with deliberate and conscious indifference to MULLIN'S constitutional rights which violations arose out of a pattern or custom or policy and practice by each of the defendants, in permitting and allowing MULLIN to be unsupervised, alone, and with the wherewithal to do harm to himself, in failing to provide medical and mental health attention, in failing to review transfer records and failing to see to it that MULLIN was properly evaluated, in failing to check and follow protocol for Mental Health Special Needs inmate such as MULLIN; in failing to obtain the requisite mental health evaluation prior to transfer to housing unit; in failing to maintain MULLIN on Constant Watch and under constant observation; in failing to have MULLIN maintained in the infirmary and treated for drug intoxication and addiction, in failing to enact, implement, promulgate and enforce policies, procedures and standards with respect to suicide watch and avoidance, in failing and omitting to follow mandated policies which would have prevented the suicide and death; in conspiring with each other to cover up and ignore the requests of MULLIN for assistance and care while on the Housing Unit; inadequate recordkeeping, failing to intervene, in exposing plaintiff's decedent to imminent bodily harm, which was foreseeable under the circumstances, in allowing unsafe conditions to exist in the defendant correctional facilities, infirmaries and hospital, train and supervise their employees in the proper conduct of their duties, among other acts and omissions.

- 118. At all relevant times herein the defendants, individually and collectively, through their agents, servants and employees were acting within the scope of their employment and duties at the time of the subject events as well as in their personal and individual capacities.
- 119. At all relevant times herein the defendants, individually and collectively, through their agents, servants and employees, and acting in their personal and individual capacities, by reason of their acts, omissions, deliberate and conscious indifference to the rights of **MULLIN**,

and by a pattern of abuse and violation of procedure and protocol, and failure to provide medical treatment inclusive of mental health services, and by their failure to provide **MULLIN** with a safe environment and by putting him in a position to harm himself, and in conspiring to ignore and deny **MULLIN** medical care, deprived **MULLIN** of his rights, privileges and immunities secured by the Constitution and laws of the United States, and are liable to the plaintiffs pursuant to Title 42 Sections 1983 and 1985 of the United States Code and the New Jersey Civil Rights Statute Title 10 and under state common law. Further, as a protected class of disability, **MULLIN** is entitled to the protections afforded under 42 U.S.C. Section 1985.

- 120. The defendants, their agents, servants and employees allowed, condoned and permitted the circumstances under which suicide and harm could occur, and with deliberate indifference failed to prevent it, failed to intervene, failed to provide proper medical care and services, and subjected **MULLIN** to cruel and unusual punishment in violation of the Eighth Amendment to the United States Constitution.
- 121. The defendants, their agents, servants and employees deprived **MULLIN** of his rights, privileges and immunities secured by the Constitution and laws of the United States including violation of his Fourteenth Amendment rights of due process, equal protection, his First and Fourth Amendment rights and his rights of privacy.
- 122. At all relevant times herein the aforedescribed acts were committed under color of law within the authority of the agents, servants and employees of the defendants.
- 123. The defendants, individually and collectively acted pursuant to official policy and/or custom and to deprive plaintiff's decedent of his constitutional rights under 42 U.S. Code section 1983 and 1985.

- 124. By reason of the foregoing, defendants violated the civil rights of plaintiff's decedent.
- 125. By reason of the foregoing, and as a direct and proximate result of defendants' constitutional violations, plaintiff's decedent **MULLIN** sustained serious and severe injuries which resulted in his death.
- 126. The decedent left him surviving his mother, **JOAN MULLIN**, and other next of kin.
- 127. As a direct and proximate result of the constitutional violations as aforedescribed, plaintiff's decedent **MULLIN** was caused to suffer severe, painful and permanent personal injuries, emotional anguish and great physical pain.
- 128. As a direct and proximate result of the constitutional violations as aforedescribed, plaintiff **JOAN MULLIN** has been damaged and has been permanently deprived of the services, society, care, companionship, support and guidance of plaintiff's decedent for the life expectancy of decedent.
- 129. By reason of the foregoing and wrongful death of plaintiff's decedent, plaintiff

 JOAN MULLIN has been damaged.
- 130. By reason of the foregoing and wrongful death of plaintiff's decedent plaintiff

 JOAN MULLIN has suffered pecuniary losses and has been compelled to expend and incur

 various sums of money for funeral and burial expenses.
- 131. By reason of the foregoing and wrongful death plaintiff's decedent has suffered loss of enjoyment of life.
- 133. WHEREFORE, plaintiffs JOAN MULLIN, as Administratrix Ad Prosequendum of the ESTATE of ROBERT MULLIN, JR., and JOAN MULLIN

individually, demands judgment against defendants JANE BYRD, L.P.N., OFFICER NICHOLAS DIMLER, in his personal and individual capacity, OFFICER ROBERT RUSSO, in his personal and individual capacity, CHIEF RALPH YANSEK, in his personal and individual capacity, LT. DUDICH, in his personal and individual capacity, SGT. B. STERN, in his personal and individual capacity, SGT. THOMAS SPENCE, in his personal and individual capacity, OFFICER ERIC LARGE, in his personal and individual capacity, KINTOCK GROUP, JOHN DOES 8-10 (as yet unidentified and unknown governmental, county, or state officials, supervisors, agents or employees) in their individual and professional capacities, and ABC ENTITIES 1-10 (as yet unidentified and unknown governmental entities, agencies, units or subdivisions, jointly and severally for compensatory and punitive damages, funeral bills, together with interest, costs of suit and attorneys' fees.

SECOND COUNT (New Jersey Civil Rights Act)

- 134. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained in the aforedescribed paragraphs and incorporates same by reference.
- 135. Defendants, individually and collectively, through their agents, servants and employees acted pursuant to official policy and/or custom to deprive plaintiff's decedent of his constitutional rights under Title 10 of the New Jersey statutes, known as the New Jersey Civil Rights Act., N.J.S.A. 10:6-1 *et seq*. Specifically, by their actions, defendants deprived plaintiff's decedent of his right to be free from cruel or unusual punishment as provided by the New Jersey Constitution, Article 12, his right to due process as provided by the New Jersey Constitution, Article 1.

- 136. By reason of the foregoing, and as a direct and proximate result of defendants' constitutional violations, plaintiff's decedent **MULLIN** sustained serious and severe injuries which resulted in his death.
 - 137. The decedent left his surviving mother, **JOAN MULLIN**, and other next of kin.
- 138. As a direct and proximate result of the constitutional violations as aforedescribed, plaintiff's decedent **MULLIN** was caused to suffer severe, painful and permanent personal injuries, emotional anguish and great physical pain.
- 139. As a direct and proximate result of the constitutional violations as aforedescribed, plaintiff **JOAN MULLIN** has been damaged and has been permanently deprived of the services, society, care, companionship, support and guidance of plaintiff's decedent for the life expectancy of decedent.
- 140. By reason of the foregoing and wrongful death of plaintiff's decedent, plaintiff

 JOAN MULLIN has been damaged.
- 141. By reason of the foregoing and wrongful death of plaintiff's decedent plaintiff

 JOAN MULLIN has suffered pecuniary losses and has been compelled to exprend and incur

 various sums of money for funeral and burial expenses.
- 142. By reason of the foregoing and wrongful death plaintiff's decedent has suffered loss of enjoyment of life.
- 143. WHEREFORE, plaintiffs JOAN MULLIN, as Administratrix Ad Prosequendum of the ESTATE of ROBERT MULLIN, JR., and JOAN MULLIN individually, demands judgment against defendants JANE BYRD, L.P.N., OFFICER NICHOLAS DIMLER, in his personal and individual capacity, OFFICER ROBERT RUSSO, in his personal and individual capacity, CHIEF RALPH YANSEK, in his personal

and individual capacity, LT. DUDICH, in his personal and individual capacity, SGT. B. STERN, in his personal and individual capacity, SGT. THOMAS SPENCE, in his personal and individual capacity, OFFICER ERIC LARGE, in his personal and individual capacity, KINTOCK GROUP, JOHN DOES 8-10 (as yet unidentified and unknown governmental, county, or state officials, supervisors, agents or employees) in their individual and professional capacities, and ABC ENTITIES 1-10 (as yet unidentified and unknown governmental entities, agencies, units or subdivisions, jointly and severally for compensatory and punitive damages, funeral bills, together with interest, costs of suit and attorneys' fees.

THIRD COUNT (Negligence)

- 144. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained in the aforedescribed paragraphs and incorporates same by reference.
- At all relevant times herein defendants were under a duty to act reasonably in the performance of their duties as corrections officers, staff, medical providers and supervisors in the control, maintenance, operation, handling and management of the jail and correctional facilities and/or in the hospital, in the provision of medical care and treatment, in properly supervising and monitoring plaintiff's decedent, in following and promulgating appropriate policies and procedures with respect to those who are likely to harm themselves, including the commission of suicide, and to prevent such harm from occurring, and to intervene to avoid and prevent such harm from occurring, and in providing proper training to those with the responsibility for the care and management of those under custodial or hospital care.
- 146. At all relevant times herein defendants were under a duty to act reasonably in following and maintaining proper protocol, policy, procedures, rules and guidelines enacted and

propounded with respect to their duties and the care and management of plaintiff's decedent and others similarly situated.

- Defendants, individually and collectively were negligent and careless in their failure to properly hire, retain, train and supervise officers, staff, employees, agents, servants and medical providers with respect to the evaluation, classification, care and management of plaintiff's decedent, in their failure to properly implement, enact, enforce, follow and maintain proper protocol, policy, procedures, rules and guidelines; in their failure to intervene to prevent the suicide, in their failure to prevent the suicide, in their failure to manage and operate their facilities in a reasonable manner so as to prevent the occurrence, in their failure to monitor and supervise **MULLIN** and were negligent and careless in the failure to provide adequate medical attention and treatment, among other acts of negligence and omissions.
- 148. Defendants, individually and collectively were negligent and careless in failing to properly discipline their staff, employees, agents and servants despite knowledge of their failure to take proper action to avoid the subject occurrence and similar occurrences and to prevent those in plaintiff's decedent's position from harming themselves.
- 149. The personal injuries, death and damages suffered by plaintiff's decedent were caused solely as a result of the negligence and carelessness of the defendants, individually and collectively.
- 150. By reason of the foregoing, and as a direct and proximate result of defendants' negligence as aforedescribed, plaintiff's decedent **MULLIN** sustained serious and severe injuries which resulted in his death.
 - 151. The decedent left his surviving mother, **JOAN MULLIN**, and other next of kin.

- 152. As a direct and proximate result of the negligence as aforedescribed, plaintiff's decedent **MULLIN** was caused to suffer severe, painful and permanent personal injuries, emotional anguish and great physical pain.
- JOAN MULLIN has been damaged and has been permanently deprived of the services, society, care, companionship, support and guidance of plaintiff's decedent for the life expectancy of decedent.
- 154. By reason of the foregoing and wrongful death of plaintiff's decedent, plaintiff

 JOAN MULLIN has been damaged.
- 155. By reason of the foregoing and wrongful death of plaintiff's decedent plaintiff

 JOAN MULLIN has suffered pecuniary losses and has been compelled to expend and incur

 various sums of money for funeral and burial expenses.
- 156. By reason of the foregoing and wrongful death plaintiff's decedent has suffered loss of enjoyment of life.
- Prosequendum of the ESTATE of ROBERT MULLIN, JR., and JOAN MULLIN individually, demands judgment against defendants JANE BYRD, L.P.N., OFFICER NICHOLAS DIMLER, in his personal and individual capacity, OFFICER ROBERT RUSSO, in his personal and individual capacity, CHIEF RALPH YANSEK, in his personal and individual capacity, LT. DUDICH, in his personal and individual capacity, SGT. B. STERN, in his personal and individual capacity, SGT. THOMAS SPENCE, in his personal and individual capacity, OFFICER ERIC LARGE, in his personal and individual capacity, KINTOCK GROUP, JOHN DOES 8-10 (as yet unidentified and unknown governmental,

county, or state officials, supervisors, agents or employees) in their individual and professional capacities, and **ABC ENTITIES 1-10** (as yet unidentified and unknown governmental entities, agencies, units or subdivisions, jointly and severally for compensatory and punitive damages, funeral bills, together with interest, costs of suit and attorneys' fees.

FOURTH COUNT (emotional distress)

- 158. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained in the aforedescribed paragraphs and incorporates same by reference.
- 159. During the period he remained in their custody and care, the defendants individually and collectively, through their agents, servants and employees, engaged in actions intended to inflict severe emotional trauma upon plaintiff's decedent **MULLIN**.
- MULLIN, and of the deprivation of constitutional rights as aforedescribed, including the intentional failure to follow policies and procedures, the failure to provide adequate medical and mental health care and treatment, the failure to supervise and monitor, the failure to train, MULLIN was subjected to intentional infliction of emotional distress.
 - 161. The emotional distress was severe and outrageous.
- 162. The emotional distress was of such character that no reasonable person could be expected to endure it.
- 163. In particular, the emotional distress aggravated and exacerbated decedent MULLIN'S previously diagnosed psychiatric conditions which increased the likelihood and foreseeability of self-inflicted harm, including suicide.
- 164. In addition or in the alternative, plaintiff's decedent suffered emotional harm due to the negligence and carelessness of the defendants.

- 165. By reason of the aforesaid intentional and negligent infliction of mental distress, plaintiff's decedent **MULLIN** was caused to suffer pain, suffering, humiliation, embarrassment and anguish, all to his damage.
- 166. By reason of the foregoing infliction of mental distress, both intentional and negligent, plaintiff's decedent **MULLIN** has been damaged.
 - 167. The decedent left his surviving mother, **JOAN MULLIN**, and other next of kin.
- As a direct and proximate result of the negligence as aforedescribed, plaintiff's decedent **MULLIN** was caused to suffer severe, painful and permanent personal injuries, emotional anguish and great physical pain.
- JOAN MULLIN has been damaged and has been permanently deprived of the services, society, care, companionship, support and guidance of plaintiff's decedent for the life expectancy of decedent.
- 170. By reason of the foregoing and wrongful death of plaintiff's decedent, plaintiff **JOAN MULLIN** has been damaged.
- JOAN MULLIN has suffered pecuniary losses and has been compelled to expend and incur various sums of money for funeral and burial expenses.
- By reason of the foregoing and wrongful death plaintiff's decedent has suffered loss of enjoyment of life.
- 173. WHEREFORE, plaintiffs JOAN MULLIN, as Administratrix Ad

 Prosequendum of the ESTATE of ROBERT MULLIN, JR., and JOAN MULLIN

 individually, demands judgment against defendants JANE BYRD, L.P.N., OFFICER

NICHOLAS DIMLER, in his personal and individual capacity, OFFICER ROBERT RUSSO, in his personal and individual capacity, CHIEF RALPH YANSEK, in his personal and individual capacity, LT. DUDICH, in his personal and individual capacity, SGT. B. STERN, in his personal and individual capacity, SGT. THOMAS SPENCE, in his personal and individual capacity, OFFICER ERIC LARGE, in his personal and individual capacity, KINTOCK GROUP, JOHN DOES 8-10 (as yet unidentified and unknown governmental, county, or state officials, supervisors, agents or employees) in their individual and professional capacities, and ABC ENTITIES 1-10 (as yet unidentified and unknown governmental entities, agencies, units or subdivisions, jointly and severally for compensatory and punitive damages, funeral bills, together with interest, costs of suit and attorneys' fees.

FIFTH COUNT

(abuse of process and authority)

- 174. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained in the aforedescribed paragraphs and incorporates same by reference.
- 175. The defendants' each individual and collective wrongful and unlawful acts constitute abuse of process and authority.
- 176. By reason of the foregoing, and as a direct and proximate result of defendants' abuse of process, plaintiff's decedent **MULLIN** sustained serious and severe injuries which resulting in his death.
- 177. By reason of the foregoing abuse of process and wrongful death of plaintiff's decedent, plaintiff **JOAN MULLIN** has suffered pecuniary losses and has been compelled to expend and incur various sums of money for funeral and burial expenses.
- 178. By reason of the foregoing and wrongful death plaintiff's decedent has suffered loss of enjoyment of life.

- 179. The decedent left his surviving mother, **JOAN MULLIN**, and other next of kin.
- 180. As a direct and proximate result of the abuse of process, plaintiff's decedent **MULLIN** was caused to suffer severe, painful and permanent personal injuries, emotional anguish and great physical pain.
- 181. As a direct and proximate result of the abuse of process and authority as aforedescribed, plaintiff **JOAN MULLIN** has been damaged and has been permanently deprived of the services, society, care, companionship, support and guidance of plaintiff's decedent for the life expectancy of decedent.
- 182. By reason of the foregoing and wrongful death of plaintiff's decedent, plaintiff JOAN MULLIN has been damaged.
- Prosequendum of the ESTATE of ROBERT MULLIN, JR., and JOAN MULLIN individually, demands judgment against defendants JANE BYRD, L.P.N., OFFICER NICHOLAS DIMLER, in his personal and individual capacity, OFFICER ROBERT RUSSO, in his personal and individual capacity, CHIEF RALPH YANSEK, in his personal and individual capacity, LT. DUDICH, in his personal and individual capacity, SGT. B. STERN, in his personal and individual capacity, SGT. THOMAS SPENCE, in his personal and individual capacity, OFFICER ERIC LARGE, in his personal and individual capacity, KINTOCK GROUP, JOHN DOES 8-10 (as yet unidentified and unknown governmental, county, or state officials, supervisors, agents or employees) in their individual and professional capacities, and ABC ENTITIES 1-10 (as yet unidentified and unknown governmental entities, agencies, units or subdivisions, jointly and severally for compensatory and punitive damages, funeral bills, together with interest, costs of suit and attorneys' fees.

SIXTH COUNT

(malpractice)

- 184. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained in the aforedescribed paragraphs and incorporate same by reference.
- 185. At all relevant times herein defendants, individually and collectively, undertook to diagnose and treat any medical conditions suffered by plaintiff's decedent, including mental health, emotional, psychological and psychiatric care and treatment, and were under a duty to do so.
- 186. At all relevant times herein defendants, individually and collectively, undertook to diagnose and treat plaintiff's decedent **MULLIN**.
- 187. At all relevant times herein defendants, individually and collectively, and in particular defendants **JANE BYRD**, **L.P.N**. held themselves out to plaintiff's decedent as facilities/persons able to and furnishing medical treatment and medical care.
- 188. At all relevant times herein defendants individually and collectively, and in particular defendants **JANE BYRD**, **L.P.N.** owed a duty to the plaintiff's decedent and to others likewise situated to use reasonably prudent and non-negligent medical care.
- 189. Between January 15, 2009 and January 17, 2009 plaintiff's decedent came under the care of the medical staff, infirmary, agents, servants and employees and/or contractors of the defendants.
- 190. At all relevant times herein defendants held themselves out as maintaining adequate and competent agents, servants and employees and further warranted and represented that their agents, servants and/or employees were qualified and trained to provide proper medical care and treatment as required and further warranted and represented themselves as being

equipped in sufficient manner to render such proper care and treatment, including care and treatment involving mental health, emotional stability, psychological and psychiatric care.

- 191. The defendants, individually and collectively failed to exercise reasonable and ordinary care in the treatment of plaintiff's decedent, failed to exercise that degree of care to be exercised by a hospital and medical staff in similar circumstances and were negligent and careless in the care and treatment of plaintiff's decedent **MULLIN**.
- 192. The defendants, individually and collectively failed to exercise reasonable and ordinary care in the treatment of **MULLIN**, failed to exercise that degree of care to be expected by a hospital, nurses, and medical providers in correctional facilities in similar circumstances and were negligent and careless in the care and treatment of **MULLIN**.
- 193. The defendants, individually and collectively, their agents, servants, employees and contractors were negligent and careless in failing to hire, train and supervise adequate staff, in failing to recognize various signs symptoms, indications and manifestations of medical and psychological problems in MULLIN, in failing to maintain adequate and proper protocols and standards for the handling of persons in the condition of MULLIN, in failing to maintain proper record keeping protocol; in failing to properly diagnosis MULLIN'S condition and failing to provide adequate care, failing to appreciate the likelihood that MULLIN could or would inflict harm to himself, failed to provide proper counseling and guidance, failing to properly evaluate his mental state so as to avoid the occurrence, and in otherwise failing and omitting to maintain that degree of care and treatment for sick patients that a prudent hospital and prudent doctors, interns, nurses, attendant and other medical providers would have maintained under like circumstances and like conditions.

- 194. By reason of the aforesaid medical and nursing malpractice, plaintiff's decedent was caused to suffer severe, painful and permanent personal injuries, sustained severe nervous shock, mental anguish and great physical pain, was prevented from engaging in his usual activities and committed suicide.
- 195. By reason of the foregoing, and as a direct and proximate result of defendants' medical negligence as aforedescribed, plaintiff's decedent **MULLIN** sustained serious and severe injuries which resulted in his death.
 - 196. The decedent left his surviving mother, **JOAN MULLIN**, and other next of kin.
- 197. As a direct and proximate result of the medical negligence as aforedescribed, plaintiff's decedent **MULLIN** was caused to suffer severe, painful and permanent personal injuries, emotional anguish and great physical pain.
- 198. As a direct and proximate result of the medical negligence as aforedescribed, plaintiff **JOAN MULLIN** has been damaged and has been permanently deprived of the services, society, care, companionship, support and guidance of plaintiff's decedent for the life expectancy of decedent.
- 199. By reason of the foregoing medical negligence and wrongful death of plaintiff's decedent, plaintiff **JOAN MULLIN** has been damaged.
- 200. By reason of the foregoing medical negligence and wrongful death of plaintiff's decedent plaintiff **JOAN MULLIN** has suffered pecuniary losses and has been compelled to expend and incur various sums of money for funeral and burial expenses.
- 201. By reason of the foregoing and wrongful death plaintiff's decedent has suffered loss of enjoyment of life.

202. WHEREFORE, plaintiffs JOAN MULLIN, as Administratrix Ad Prosequendum of the ESTATE of ROBERT MULLIN, JR., and JOAN MULLIN individually, demands judgment against defendants, JANE BYRD, L.P.N., JOHN DOES 9-10 and ABC ENTITIES 1-10 jointly and severally for compensatory and punitive damages, funeral bills, together with interest, costs of suit and attorneys' fees.

SEVENTH COUNT (CIVIL CONSPIRACY)

- 203. **PLAINTIFF** repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in the aforedescribed paragraphs and incorporates same by reference.
- 204. **DEFENDANTS CHIEF YANSEK, LT. DUDICH, SGT. STERN, SGT. THOMAS, OFFICER DIMLER, OFFICER RUSSO** and **OFFICER LARGE** did conspire, act in concert together, and agree among themselves, to cause injury and damage to and commit unlawful acts against **MULLIN**, namely: to ignore plaintiff's pleas and requests for mental health treatment; pleas that he was going to commit suicide or inflict self-harm, to cover up the notice and complaints to any of the defendants of these requests and pleas for help, to fail to properly document in log books the complaints and pleas of plaintiff, to humiliate and punish plaintiff, abuse their authority and abuse process against **MULLIN**, and to injure, damage, intentionally cause emotional distress to, **MULLIN**.
- 205. Each of the **DEFENDANTS** understood the objectives of the conspiracy scheme and accepted them, and agreed, implicitly or explicitly, to each do his part to further them.
- 206. By reason of the foregoing, and as a direct and proximate result of the conspiracy **MULLIN** suffered damages.
 - 207. By reason of the aforesaid conspiracy, plaintiff's decedent was caused to suffer

severe, painful and permanent personal injuries, sustained severe nervous shock, mental anguish and great physical pain, was prevented from engaging in his usual activities and committed suicide.

- 208. By reason of the foregoing, and as a direct and proximate result of defendants' conspiracy as aforedescribed, plaintiff's decedent **MULLIN** sustained serious and severe injuries which resulted in his death.
 - 209. The decedent left his surviving mother, **JOAN MULLIN**, and other next of kin.
- 210. As a direct and proximate result of the conspiracy as aforedescribed, plaintiff's decedent **MULLIN** was caused to suffer severe, painful and permanent personal injuries, emotional anguish and great physical pain.
- 211. As a direct and proximate result of the conspiracy as aforedescribed, plaintiff **JOAN MULLIN** has been damaged and has been permanently deprived of the services, society, care, companionship, support and guidance of plaintiff's decedent for the life expectancy of decedent.
- 212. By reason of the foregoing conspiracy and wrongful death of plaintiff's decedent, plaintiff **JOAN MULLIN** has been damaged.
- 213. By reason of the foregoing conspiracy and wrongful death of plaintiff's decedent plaintiff **JOAN MULLIN** has suffered pecuniary losses and has been compelled to expend and incur various sums of money for funeral and burial expenses.
- 214. By reason of the foregoing and wrongful death plaintiff's decedent has suffered loss of enjoyment of life.
 - 215. WHEREFORE, plaintiffs JOAN MULLIN, as Administratrix Ad

Prosequendum of the ESTATE of ROBERT MULLIN, JR., and JOAN MULLIN individually, demands judgment against defendants, JANE BYRD, L.P.N., OFFICER NICHOLAS DIMLER, in his personal and individual capacity, OFFICER ROBERT RUSSO, in his personal and individual capacity, CHIEF RALPH YANSEK, in his personal and individual capacity, LT. DUDICH, in his personal and individual capacity, SGT. B. STERN, in his personal and individual capacity, SGT. THOMAS SPENCE, in his personal and individual capacity, VINTOCK GROUP, JOHN DOES 8-10 (as yet unidentified and unknown governmental, county, or state officials, supervisors, agents or employees) in their individual and professional capacities, and ABC ENTITIES 1-10 (as yet unidentified and unknown governmental entities, agencies, units or subdivisions, jointly and severally for compensatory and punitive damages, funeral bills, together with interest, costs of suit and attorneys' fees.

SHELLEY L. STANGLER, P.C.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Dated: l/l4/l4

BY:

SHELLEY L. STANGLER, ESQ.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiffs demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable.

Dated:

1/24/18

BY:

SHELLEY L. STANGLER, ESO.

DESIGNATION OF TRIAL COUNSEL

Pursuant to Rule 4:24-4, **SHELLEY L. STANGLER, ESQ**. is hereby designated as trial counsel on behalf of the plaintiff in the within matter.

Dated 1/24/18

BY:

SHELLEY L. STANGLER, ESQ.

CERTIFICATION

SHELLEY L. STANGLER, ESQ., of full age, certifies:

- 1. SHELLEY L. STANGLER, P.C. has been retained to represent plaintiffs, JOAN MULLIN, as Administratrix of the Estate of ROBERT MULLIN, JR, and JOAN MULLIN individually in connection with the within matter. I am the attorney in charge of the case.
- 2. The matter in controversy is not the subject of any other action pending in any court or of a pending arbitration proceeding, and no other action or arbitration proceeding is contemplated by plaintiff.
 - 3. There are no other parties who should be joined in this action.

I certify that the foregoing statements made by me are true. I am aware that if any of the foregoing statements made by me are willfully false, I am subject to punishment.

SHELLEY L. STANGLER, ESQ.

DATED:

1/vth/